

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/042,867	POLIKARPUS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kaj K Olsen	1753	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** amended

(1) Kaj K Olsen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Douglas Fekete.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 January 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

45

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted the applicant's representative because claim 45 depended from a canceled claim. The applicant's representative in a phone message gave the examiner permission to change the dependency to claim 41 instead.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)